IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: GENERIC

PHARMACEUTICALS PRICING ANTITRUST LITIGATION

IN RE: CLOBETASOL CASES

IN RE: CLOMIPRAMINE CASES

THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO: DPP BELLWETHER ACTIONS MDL NO. 2724 16-md-2724

DPP CASE: 16-CB-27241

DPP CASE: 16-CM-27241

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of December 2024, upon consideration of the Motions to Exclude [16-CM-27241: Doc. Nos. 90, 91, and 102; 16-CB-27242: Doc. Nos. 139, 141, and 151] and the responses and replies thereto, and after hearings and arguments held on September 24-26, October 8, and October 10, 2024, and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- DPPs' motion to exclude the opinions of Dr. Gilbert is **DENIED** in part and GRANTED in part as it relates to testimony by Dr. Gilbert that economic evidence has no bearing on determining the difference between legal and illegal interdependent conduct.
- 2. Defendants' motion to exclude the opinions of Dr. McGuire is **DENIED** in part and **GRANTED** in part to the extent that Dr. McGuire opines that his conditional probability test demonstrates the existence of a "super" plus factor.
- 3. Defendants' motion to exclude the opinions of Dr. Leitzinger is **DENIED** in part and **GRANTED** in part as his opinions relate to his alternative overcharge calculations.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.